

Mandate of Lead Security FAQs

How should the details of the lead be presented for Canadian policies?

In Canada, Lloyd's underwriters are federally registered and provincially licensed for the classes of business set out in Crystal - the licences are held in the name 'Lloyd's Underwriters'. Lloyd's Underwriters' should continue to be used for Canadian policies with the lead underwriter(s) named subsequently e.g. "Lloyd's Underwriters led by Managing Agent A, Syndicate 1000".

How should the details be presented if there is a co-lead arrangement (more than one Lloyd's lead on a delegated authority agreement) or different leads for different sections?

In instances where there are co-leads, or different leads for different sections of the agreement, the lead syndicate of the relevant section of the delegated authority contract should be specified. For example, for co-lead arrangements; both leads should be disclosed or for an agreement where liability and property sections have differing leads, both lead syndicates would need to be named if the policy issued covered both liability and property.

Does the guidance apply to delegated authority agreements with a non-Lloyd's lead?

The bulletin is mandating the disclosure of the Lloyd's lead to ensure policyholders have the information required should they need to confirm the validity of their contract directly with their insurer. Although we encourage the disclosure of the lead in all instances, this bulletin remains applicable to Lloyd's leaders only.

How should the information be presented if the delegated authority contract is led by a Consortia stamp?

If the delegated authority contract is led by a Consortia stamp then the details of the Consortium leader should be disclosed on the policy documentation (including the name of the managing agent and the syndicate number).

For certain geographical locations, we currently state the full security within the policy documentation in line with local regulation. Can this protocol be applied across the board so it's a single process?

Yes. The bulletin is mandating the disclosure of the Lloyd's lead however this does not intend to prevent policies including details of all participating Lloyd's syndicates (and/or Company Market Insurers where applicable) if either that is the preference or requirement by local regulatory rules.

Should the requirement be detailed within the binding authority agreement ?

The bulletin is mandating the disclosure of the Lead in policy documents issued to the insured. The managing agent can choose to stipulate this requirement in the binding authority agreement. To assist their members with consistent implementation of this mandate the LMA are currently reviewing all their wordings, policy forms and jackets that include 'certain Underwriters at Lloyd's' to verify if they need updating to conform to the

mandate. The model binding authority agreement wordings will be updated to incorporate provisions for this mandate as part of the Computable Binding Authority Agreement (CBAA) project, with the new wording being available ahead of the mandate coming into effect. a

Are we expected to endorse every binder and reissue certificates?

We do not expect certificates to be reissued or for live binders to be endorsed Whilst the requirement is that this will apply on all documentation issued under any delegated contract incepting on or after 1st July 2025, it is advised that if in a position to do so, the details of the Lloyd's lead can be included on policy documentation ahead of this.

Does the guidance apply to open market contracts that are lead by a Consortia stamp?

No, this guidance applies to policies issued under delegated authority contracts only so will not apply to any open market policies.